Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Reports Volume 336

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

A & R Enterprises, LLC v . Sentinel Ins. Co., Ltd. (Order)	921 939 922 913 902
Barker v. All Roofs by Dominic	592
Workers' compensation benefits; determination by Workers' Compensation Commis-	
sioner that defendant city was plaintiff's principal employer pursuant to statute (§ 31-291); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly upheld decision of Compensation Review Board, which had affirmed commission-	
er's decision; whether city was principal employer of plaintiff, who was employed	
by city's uninsured subcontractor and who suffered compensable injury while	
performing repairs to roof of city's transfer facility; whether Massolini v. Driscoll	
(114 Conn. 546), should be overruled insofar as it applies principal employer	
liability, for purposes of workers' compensation law, to municipalities.	
Borelli v. Renaldi	3
$Negligence; high \ speed \ police \ pursuit; summary \ judgment; governmental \ immunity;$	
whether trial court correctly concluded that statute (§ 14-283 (d)) governing	
operation of emergency vehicles, as well as defendant town's police pursuit policy,	
imposes discretionary, rather than ministerial, duty on police officers to drive	
with due regard for safety of all persons and property; whether defendants were	
immune from liability in connection with pursuit of fleeing motorist; whether	
$plaintiff failed\ to\ demonstrate\ that\ identifiable\ person-imminent\ harm\ exception$	
to discretionary act immunity applied in present case.	
Boutilier v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	935
Brown v. State (Order)	904
Budrawich v. Budrawich (Order)	909
Buie v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	940
Cohen v. King (Order)	925
Cole v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	908
Coleman v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	922
Collins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	931
Commissioner of Public Health v. Colandrea (Order)	930
Cordero v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	926
Corley v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	913
CT Freedom Alliance, LLC v. Dept. of Education (Order)	914
Davis v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	916
DeLeo v. Equale & Cirone, LLP (Order)	927
Derblom v. Archdiocese of Hartford (Order)	938 901
Doe v. Flanigan (Order)	922
Dovenmuehle Mortgage, Inc. v. Janniello (Order)	931
E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. v. Chemtura Corp	194
	194
Breach of contract; whether trial court properly rendered judgment for defendant on claim alleging breach of commercial contract governed by New York law when	
plaintiff failed to strictly comply with notice provision; whether New York law	
requires strict compliance with notice provision of commercial contract when	
other party to contract receives actual notice and is not prejudiced by lack of	
strict compliance.	
Fay v. Merrill	432
Congressional elections; action brought pursuant to statute (§ 9-323) allowing any	102
elector or candidate who claims that he is aggrieved by any ruling of any election	
official in connection with election for, among other public offices, representative	
in Congress, to file complaint with justice of Supreme Court; motion to dismiss;	
claim that application for absentee ballot adding COVID-19 as reason for absentee	
"	

voting was unconstitutional and based on erroneous interpretation of governor's executive order; whether this court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over plain-	
tiff's action under § 9-323.	
	923
	924
	926
	943
	936
	931
	170
Habeas corpus; certification from Appellate Court; claim that habeas counsel ren-	
dered ineffective assistance by failing to raise claim of due process violation in	
petitioner's earlier habeas case; whether petitioner's due process rights were	
violated under Napue v. Illinois (360 U.S. 264) and Giglio v. United States (405	
U.S.150) when prosecutor knowingly failed to correct false testimony of state's	
key witnesses at petitioner's criminal trial regarding their cooperation agree-	
ments with state, even though defense counsel had actual or constructive knowl-	
edge of those agreements; whether disclosure to defense counsel that witness	
has given false testimony, by itself, necessarily cures any violation of criminal	
defendant's due process rights under Napue and Giglio.	
	921
	913
	915
	916
Heyward v. Leftridge (Orders)	
	545
Termination of parental rights; request for posttermination visitation; whether	
respondent mother was aggrieved by trial court's order declining to order postter-	
mination visitation with her child; claim that issue of posttermination visitation	
was rendered moot by virtue of trial court's termination of respondent's parental	
rights; claim that respondent lacked standing to appeal from trial court's order	
because she did not appeal from or seek or obtain stay of termination judgment;	
whether trial court correctly concluded that it lacked authority to order posttermi-	
nation visitation; whether trial court correctly relied on applicable statute (§ 17- 112a (b) through (h)) to deny request for posttermination visitation; claim that	
trial court's denial of posttermination visitation should be upheld on alternative	
ground that court correctly determined that such visitation would not be in child's	
best interest; remand for dispositional hearing at which trial court is to consider	
merits of ordering visitation.	
	902
	909
	911
	915
In re Kameron N. (Orders)	
	924
	932
	937
	943
	272
Petition for reinstatement of guardianship rights pursuant to statute (§ 45a-611);	
certification from Appellate Court; whether parent seeking reinstatement of	
guardianship rights is entitled to rebuttable, constitutional presumption that	
reinstatement is in best interests of child once parent has established that cause	
for removal no longer exists; whether third party seeking to rebut presumption	
that reinstatement of guardianship is in child's best interests must do so by clear	
and convincing evidence; weighing of factors set forth in Mathews v . Eldridge (424)	
U.S. 319) for purpose of determining proper standard of proof in reinstatement	
$of\ guardian ship\ proceedings.$	
	916
	928
1	938
	937
	915
	912
Kelsey v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	941

Kondjoua v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	907 332
Leonova v. Leonov (Order)	906
Mecca v. Mecca (Order)	940
Morales v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	930
Nash v. Roland Dumont Agency, Inc. (Order)	917
Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Zanett (Order)	919
Northeast Builders Supply & Home Centers, LLC v. RMM Consulting, LLC (Order)	933
OneWest Bank, N.A. v. Ceslik (Order)	936
Osborn v. Waterbury (Order)	903
Osbourne v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	937
Palmer v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	924
Pascola-Milton v. Millard (Order)	934
Pearson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	914
Pierce v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	914
Praisner v. State	420
Indemnification pursuant to statute ((Rev. to 2013) § 53-39a); whether Appellate	
Court correctly determined that state university's special police force was not	
local police department for purposes of § 53-39a; whether 2017 amendment to	
§ 53-39a was clarifying legislation applicable to plaintiff.	933
Pryor v. Brignole (Order)	933
Reliable Mechanical Contractors, LLC v. Ricketts (Order).	932
Rispoli v. East Haven (Order)	927
Roberts v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	920
Rose v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	920
St. Louis v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	919
St. Pierre v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	940
Schuler v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	905
Seaport Capital Partners, LLC v. Speer (Order)	942
Seramonte Associates, LLC v. Hamden (Order)	923
Shoreline Shellfish, LLC v. Branford	403
Breach of contract; right of first refusal to lease shellfishing grounds in defendant	
town; whether trial court improperly granted town's motion for summary judg-	
ment; whether genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether shellfishing	
$ground\ plaintiffs\ sought\ to\ lease\ was\ owned\ by\ town\ within\ meaning\ of\ applicable$	
provision (§ 88-8) of town code; whether town's Shellfish Commission had author-	
ity to lease shellfishing ground to plaintiffs under § 88-8 of town code.	
Solek v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	935
Speer v. Skaats (Order)	910
Stanley v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	901
Stanley v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	912 452
State v. Ashby	492
degree; burglary first degree; sixth amendment right to counsel; claim that state	
violated defendant's sixth amendment right to counsel by engaging jailhouse	
informant to deliberately elicit incriminating statements from defendant;	
whether informant acted as agent of state in eliciting incriminating statements	
from defendant; claim that there was insufficient evidence to establish that	
defendant remained unlawfully in victim's apartment for purpose of his convic-	
tion of first degree burglary; defendant's invitation to overrule State v. Allen (216	
Conn. 367); stare decisis; whether trial court abused its discretion in declining to	
$give\ third-party\ culpability\ instruction\ to\ jury\ in\ light\ of\ existence\ of\ unidentified$	
person's DNA in and on victim's body and on doorframe of victim's bedroom.	000
State v. Capasso (Order)	939

State v . Ferrazzano-Mazza (Order)	928
	907
8 (934
	901
	247
$As sault\ of\ disable d\ person\ third\ degree;\ disorderly\ conduct;\ certification\ from\ Appel-$	
late Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court had not	
abused its discretion in determining that defendant's waiver of right to counsel	
during pretrial stage of proceedings was knowing, intelligent and voluntary;	
whether trial court abused its discretion in determining that defendant under-	
stood nature of charges against him; claim that defendant's waiver of right to	
counsel was constitutionally inadequate because trial court did not make him	
$aware\ of\ dangers\ and\ disadvantages\ of\ self-representation\ during\ canvass;\ claim$	
that trial court's failure to canvass defendant regarding right to counsel during	
arraignment and plea negotiations was structural error; whether alleged error	
concerning failure to canvass defendant regarding right to counsel during	
arraignment and plea negotiations was harmless.	
State v. Knox (Orders)	
State v. Lemanski (Order)	907
	910
	911
	386
Assault third degree; claim that trial court improperly declined to instruct jury on	
defense of personal property with respect to assault charge; whether Appellate	
Court correctly concluded that defendant failed to preserve his claim of instruc-	
tional error; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant waived	
his unpreserved claim of instructional error.	210
	219
Assault first degree as principal; assault first degree as accessory; double jeopardy;	
certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded	
that defendant's convictions of assault in first degree as principal and assault	
in first degree as accessory as to each victim did not violate double jeopardy	
clause of United States constitution; proper inquiry, for double jeopardy purposes,	
when defendant is convicted of multiple violations of same substantive criminal statute, discussed; whether legislature intended to punish individual acts sepa-	
rately or to punish course of action that they constitute under first degree assault	
statute (\S 53a-59 (a) (1)) under which defendant was convicted; whether defend-	
ant's assaultive acts against victims were part of same continuing course of	
conduct.	
	933
	929
State v. Schimanski (Order)	903
State v. Schmanski (Order)	
State v. Sebben (Order)	
	919 917
State v. Williams (Order)	917
State v. Williams (Order)	$917 \\ 938$
State v. Williams (Order)	917 938 910
State v. Williams (Order)	917 938 910 934
State v. Williams (Order). Trust v. Bliss (Order). Tunick v. Tunick (Order). U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order). Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order).	917 938 910 934 908
State v. Williams (Order). Trust v. Bliss (Order). Tunick v. Tunick (Order). U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order). Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order). Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	917 938 910 934
State v. Williams (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Tunick v. Tunick (Order). U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vogue v. Administrator, Unemployment Compensation Act (Order)	917 938 910 934 908 942
State v. Williams (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Tunick v. Tunick (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vogue v. Administrator, Unemployment Compensation Act (Order) Wahba v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (Order)	917 938 910 934 908 942 918
State v. Williams (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Tunick v. Tunick (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vogue v. Administrator, Unemployment Compensation Act (Order) Wahba v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (Order)	917 938 910 934 908 942 918 909
State v. Williams (Order) Trust v. Bliss (Order) Tunick v. Tunick (Order) U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho (Order) Vaccaro v. Loscalzo (Order) Velez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Vogue v. Administrator, Unemployment Compensation Act (Order) Wahba v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (Order) Wittman v. Intense Movers, Inc. (Order) Wright v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	917 938 910 934 908 942 918 909 918